

## REMARKS

Claims 1-28 are pending. Claims 1, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 22 and 28 are amended. New claims 29-30 are added. Claim 27 is cancelled. In the Office Action dated October 27, 2006, the United States Patent & Trademark Office (USPTO) rejected claims 3, 12, 14, 16-17 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph and claims 1, 3, 12, 14, 16-17, 22-23 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Applicant has amended claims 1 and 22 thereby overcoming the rejections and requests withdrawal of the rejections.

Claims 1-4, 8-10, 12, 14, and 16-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stokes (U.S. 5,282,844) in view of Batchelor (U.S. 2002/0115559). Claim 1 is directed to an implantable therapy delivery and / or diagnostic device including “a fixation element adapted to secure the device to an implant site...one or more elongate conductors extending within the device...a polymeric layer overlaying a portion of the device in proximity to the implant site and including an outer surface...an electrode positioned along the polymeric layer and comprising multiple coil turns and a layer of a catalytic agent, having nitrite reductase and / or nitrate reductase, or nitrosothiol reductase activity, present on the outer surface of the polymeric layer and being exposed between the coil turns.” Stokes relates to an implantable medical lead but does not describe an electrode positioned along the polymeric layer and comprising multiple coil turns and a layer of a catalytic agent being exposed between the coil turns. The USPTO concedes that Stokes does not include a layer of catalytic agent. The USPTO relies upon the teachings of Batchelor relating to a biocompatible material having a layer of a catalytic agent having nitrite reductase activity. Batchelor indicates the material may be cast or otherwise shaped to comprise a monolithic device, such as implantable device such as drug depot or in-dwelling devices such as catheters or extracorporeal tubing sets. The material may also be applied as a film on another substrate that may be a polymer or a metal device such as arterial stents, guide wires, catheters, bone anchors and

screws, ...electrical leads, biosensors, and probes. However, even when combined, Stokes and Batchelor fail to teach, suggest or imply a layer of a catalytic agent being exposed between the coil turns of an electrode. As such, Applicant requests withdrawal of the rejection.

Claim 27 is directed to an implantable therapy delivery and / or diagnostic device including a body including a sidewall having a plurality of pores ...a plug held within the porous sidewall and including a layer of catalytic agent, having nitrite reductase and / or nitrate reductase, or nitrosothiol reductase activity present on an outer surface of the plug wherein the catalytic layer, exposed to blood through the plurality of pores, converts nitrite/nitrate or nitrosothiols found soley in the blood to nitric oxide. Stokes describes a polymeric plug within an electrode. The plug is impregnated with a water soluble form of glucocorticosteroids. Since Stokes' plug is an impregnated plug within an electrode, Stokes fails to teach or suggest a plug having a layer of a catalytic agent held within the porous sidewall of a device body. While Batchelor teaches a material having a catalytic agent Batchelor fails to remedy the deficiency of Stokes. As such, Applicant respectfully asserts the rejection is improper and should be withdrawn.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stokes in view of Batchelor and further in view of Halperin (U.S. 5,564,434). Halperin fails to remedy the deficiency noted with Stokes and Batchelor as described above.

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 12, 14, 19-21 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based upon Borgersen (U.S. 2001/0018607) in view of Batchelor. Claims 7, 11, and 13 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based upon Borgersen in view of Batchelor and Vachon (U.S. 5,861,023). Borgersen discloses a multi-lumen medical lead that includes a conventional defibrillation electrode.

Borgersen makes no suggestion of a catalytic layer exposed between electrode coil turns. For the same or similar reasoning as discussed above, Batchelor fails to remedy this deficiency of Borgersen. Vachon discloses a lead having a coil electrode overlayed with a sulfonated thermoplastic. The cited references, even when combined, fail to teach, suggest or imply a layer of a catalytic agent being exposed between electrode turns. Withdrawal of the instant rejections and issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

---

April 27, 2007  
Date

---

/Carol F. Barry/  
Carol F. Barry  
Reg. No. 41,600  
(763) 514-4673  
Customer No. 27581